31

Previous references

1903-04
(Education)

File No 111 of 03
Serial Nos 1—6

Later references

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos 1—6

Major **Part B for** Feb 1904

SUBJECT

Grant-in-aid of an English School at Konanur in the Arkalgud Taluk

Sl No1 From the D Sf of Edn in Mysore no 716/24 dated 20-7-03
Recommends a grant-in-aid of Rs20/- a month for the English School at Konanur in the Arkalgud Taluk with effect from 1-7-03

Sl No2 To the D Sf of Edn & the Comptr in Mysore no 5 17-18 dated 31-7-03
Sanctions the above & requests to submit to Govt a reappropriation statement

Sl No3 From, the D Sf of Edn no 4291-2/186 dated 16-11-03
States, in reply to the above that the submission of a reappropriation statement does not seem necessary under the rules

G R S 58

Comptr in Mysore no H 1797 dated 16-1-61

Forwards a copy of all the three previous correspondence and enquires whether the submission of the reappropriation statement by the Heads of Depts is necessary

Sl no 5 From, the Comptr in Mysore —
no 3961/119 dated 21-1-61.
States that no Re appropriation statement is necessary if the proposed charge is debitable to the same head

Sl no 6 To, The D Sl of Edn the Comptr the Dy Commrs of Dists & the Heads of other Depts
no H 2221-62 dated 18-2-61
Directs that the grant-in-aid of Rs 20/- sanctioned for the Eng School at Tarikere be met from the provision of Rs 30/- a month in the Budget for the School at Hasan which has ceased to exist, no appropriation being necessary as both the new charge & the savings available are under the same detailed head of the Budget & observes that Estimates submitted to Govt are understood to provide only such charges as are actually to be incurred.

Countermarked
L S Rao
25/2

Indexed
H S Bhatt

Mysore Govt Genl and Rev Secretariat

~~Office of the Dewan of Mysore.~~

File No (if any)

Directions

No date and purport of receipts to be entered in red and office note in black ink in order of dates —To be written only on one side and inside the line of margin

Office Note. (1)

Branch III-General (6 Sts)

Notes

Subject Letter No 716/24 & 20/7/1903 from the I Gl of F Sts, in Mysore, Recommending a monthly grant of Rs 20 in aid of an English School to be newly opened at Konanur in the Arakalgud Taluk

The I Gl states that the people of Konanur in the Arakalgud Taluk have started an English School in their Village and have paid into the Treasury a sum of Rs 160 being their contribution equal to 1/3 of the cost of the School for a year and Recommends that a monthly grant of Rs 20 in aid of the School, be Sanctioned for one year from 1st July 1903 –

He also suggests that the additional cost involved may be

(2)

Next from the grant of Rs 30
a month paid in aid of the
English School at Nasik in
the Nasik Taluk which has
now ceased to exist.

file put up

The I G's proposal is in accor-
dance with the Standing orders
Flag A (Edn 105-07) on the Subject (No 8378-9 & Ed 181
& Flag B (do) 2nd December 1901 & No 14128-9 Ed 297
& 3rd March 1902)

In this case a Reappropria-
tion Statement will have to be
& submitted through the Comptroller

Subd for orders with the Reference to Secy

V.P.N. 25/7/03 a month correctly speaking, only 15 Rs & being the Govt contribution for the School at Nasik is available, Rest Rs 30 as stated by the I G But as only Rs 60, her maximum (or Rs 60 less reduction) can be given for the New School at Ahmednagar, the I G's proposal may be sanctioned

Sanctioned 27/7/03

Issue to draft 28/7/03

27/7/03

Office of the Dewan of Mysore

File No (if any)

Directions

No date and purport of receipt to be entered in red and office note in black ink in order of dates—To be written only on one side, and inside the line of margin

Office Note. (3)

Branch General (Education)

Subject

Question regarding re-appropriation of savings in Departments—Returns are to be submitted to Government by heads of Departments and others when they propose to utilise savings in the same head for purposes for which no provision was made in the budget

---

Letter No 42 1-2 /1901 dated the 16th ult from the Dept of Education Mysore

The above question has not been put by the I G of Edn directly but he does it indirectly as will be seen from the following—

A sum of Rs 80 a year was required in connection with the I G's proposal to sanction a grant-in aid of Rs 5 80 a month or Rs 60 a year for the Aided Anglish School at the Arkalgud taluk As the charge was not foreseen no provision could be made therefor in budget estimates for 1900-1901 (Current year) So the I G while submitting his recommendation proposed that the charge involved viz Rs 60 might be met from the saving of Rs 360 under

@ The people contributing the remaining Rs 180

ailable in the budget under " ... " being the grant sanctioned for the Aided ... School at ... which ceased to exist

The I G's proposal was sanctioned and in para 2 of the order communicating the sanction he was requested to submit to Govt through ... a re-appropriation statement for formal sanction of the charge from the saving promised as required by the rules

In reference to this direction the I G submits that the amount or rather the saving which is appropriated for the school ... or is not to be transferred from one ... or head to another but will be utilised in the same ... and it is included in the ... Plan ... of the Civil budget The I G therefore considers that the re-appropriation statement is not necessary and consequently represents his inability to submit one

Rly B

In the Financial Rules recently sanctioned by Govt the portion that relates to ... not provided for is covered by the Rule ... to

Rly A

O It is nowhere stated in these rules that the submission of re-appropriation statements is not necessary when savings are proposed to be utilised within the same ... or head ... object of the ...

(5)

bmit ins re-a propriatio ate on and th too

thro h the Co ptroller i to make sure whether

the savin s pointed out r re ll available and

but s more whether such savings "ill not be ab-

"sorbed by excess expenditure in other d partments

" hic will result in he aggregate of the Gene al

"b dget beir exceeded" (Rule 24)

If no re-appropriation statements re neces-

sary in cases where he savings in the sa e head are pro

posed to be utilised, the ob ec of Rule 2 will

be fr stra ted

Further it is laid down in ule 2 that sav-

ings ithin the s me h or head ubject to a limit

of Rs 25, 000 n be re-appropria ed b Govt

in the respective Depar men s (as distin ished

from the Finan ial Department) T ule 22 all

the submission of re-appropriation statement is

referred to overall and is not restricted

to cases of tran fer of funds from one a or Hea

to another

It is plain therefore that re-appropriation

statements ould be submitted in every case whether

t e savings pointed out i i hin the sa e or

head or otherwise

S bmitted for orders

26 PTO

Another point though not closely (4)
connected with the question at issue is
when the Aided English school at
Mastr (Malur Taluk) ceased to exist
If it had ceased to exist before
the close of the off[l] year (1902-1923
last year)
why the grant of Rs 30 sanctioned
for that school was included in
the budget estimates for 1903-1904
It ought to have been strictly
speaking removed from the budget-
estimates under the head "grants in aid"
May an equiry be made in the matter)

KS
26-11-03

Subd to Secty
27/11/03

The Dispr-Genl is competent to
transfer strictly - but as such
alterations have all along been
coming up to Govt, there may
continue but no reason why
seems necessary Draft and
submd accdgly

Draft submd accdgly 28/11/03

KS
4-12-03)

The Dewan
through the 2nd
Councillor

The question raised by the
D.P.I seems to be an important
one, & I am not sure that it

(7)

his connection in connection that
under the recent orders the
Submission of a Abbreviation
Statement into the Comptroller
is not necessary in such cases
May he not consult the
Comptroller on the subject
before making transfer orders
Transfer from one minor head
to another under the same
major head is involved -
Are funds available for
such transfer, Answer the
the question - In such
cases are he to act
independently of the Comptroller -
Our ruling would apply
as well to ~~the Education~~ all
~~as to~~ debits as to the
Education debit - C

12/12/03

Subd A draft Connecting
the Comptroller suggestion
put up for approval

The question involved is one
relating to Fd Rules Hrs respectfully
submits that the draft may be ordered
to be issued from the Fd Branch

Subd to Secty with draft 24/12/03

23-12-03

To Fmt Branch

29/12/03

(Let me have the observations of the Fd Dept Thanks

27/12/3

(8)

Submitted

Rules 21, 22 & 23, Part I, Chapter II of the Financial Rules show that a re-appropriation statement is necessary when the expenditure proposed cannot be met from the grant made for the service of the Department to which it appertains In the present instance, the expenditure can be met from the grant made for the service of the Dept, viz, "Salary[x] and rent" under 18 Education (8) Grants-in-aid. The Inspector General is competent to transfer but the alteration of the scale of grants requires sanction. ~~This has~~ which has ~~to be done and~~ to be conveyed No re-appropriation statement seems necessary

page 98 of the Budget

Hon. Minister

The Comptr may however be consulted as directed by the 2nd Councillor & the draft, as slightly modified by me, issued

The Secretary

7/11/14

Note continued (9)

The Comptroller was, ~~enquired~~ requested, in this office no H 1791 dated 16th January 1904, whether re appropriation statements are to be submitted to Govt, through him, by Heads of Departments and others when they propose to utilise savings under any head for purposes falling under the same head for which no provision was made in the Budget

In his reply no 3961/119 dated 21st January 04 he states ~~as follows~~ that no re-appropriation statements are necessary if the proposed new charge is debitable to the same detailed head and when the savings from the original grant are specifically pointed out, and that in the present instance, the grant of Rs 20/- a month to the English School at Koranur by the abolition of the grant in aid of Rs 30/- a month to the English School at Mash is only an alteration in the scale of grants-in-aid as fixed by Government involving no additional charge & that Consequently no re-appropriation statement is necessary

The Draft approved of by the Secretary on 6 12 03 is according to the above views of the Comptroller

Submitted

Hoo 3/2/04

The draft, as slightly altered now, may be issued after the final approval by the Comptroller

The Secretary

670/14-2-04

Issd to the Press thro' the 2nd C. Ll 3/2/04

H 3/2/04

Paras 1 & 2 of the order proposed are all right. I am not satisfied that para 3 is really necessary. I don't think it is usual to report the closing of schools. The school at Marsh was moreover an annually renewable, and not a permanent, institution as regards the date on which it was closed, the provision made for it in the Budget for 1903/04, & other matters. The draft (para 3) seems based entirely on surmise & the df any information on these points was really required, it ought to have been called for in the order sanctioning the institution of the grant for the benefit of the new school at Kanamma

I moved out para 3

5/2/04

Ed. Sprot Time
Hd

15/10/5/2 04

Ed 5/2/04-

The Dewan in approving of (11)
the draft, was pleased to remark
" I don't see any objection
to para 3 It assumes an alterna
tive situation and I think it
is a useful direction and may
remain

Init PNK
6 2-03

The Second Councillor's remarks
to the Office.

(1) Is it usual to report the
closing of schools — especially of
annually renewable ones'

(2) Have you any reason to
suspect that the Irish school was
closed in 1902-1902, and that too
before the preparation and submission of the budget for
1903-04? If it was closed late in the
year 1902-03, how could the provision
for it have been omitted in the budget
for 1903-1904? Your direction in
that case would be wrong

(3) If the closing of the school
could not have been anticipated
when the budget was sent up
how could your other observation
regarding lapses be justified?

Altogether I think para

Para 3 contains observations and directions which are as statutory as they are incorrect

Will the Education Clerk come and explain?

A note on the above queries is presented in person

Yes
9/2/04

Is it usual to report the closing of schools - especially of annually renewable ones'

No - It is not usual

Edn 105-01-1

2) Have you any reason to suspect that the Nasik school was closed in 1902-03 and that too before the preparation and submission of the budget for 1903-04 - If it was closed late in the year 1902-03, how could the provision for it been omitted in the budget for 1903-1904 Your direction in that case would be wrong

3) If the closing of the school could not have been anticipated when the budget was sent, how could your other observation regarding lapses be justified

Ref 10/2/04

Vide the DGE's letter No 3468/119 dated the 8th Oct 1901, wherein it is stated that the Nasik school would be closed from the 1st January 1902 If it was closed on that date the provision made in the budget for 1902-1903 & 1903-4 would not be justified

The DGE's explanatory memo submitted each year with the budget should not explain such matters Hence the office is not able to check the provisions made on account of schools not existing hence the direction

In that case, Now I may kindly be directed as follows & returned "The DGE is directed to drop from the list the school No 3468 of the 8th

* Edn 105-01-1 from his letter

(16)

One letter for me which he stated that the school at Cuttack would be closed on 1st January/02 - & it was not closed ~~as~~ he requested to grant leave as the school was no longer necessary & it ought not to have been retained in the Budget Estimates for 1902/03 & 1903/04 - (which was omitted by the P.W. Dept in preparing the Revised Estimates for the year) - The allocation & Class of the draft as there stated may be upheld & defer to the Dewan's opinion

11/2/04

Subd to the Dewan

As there was a difference of opinion between the Dewan & the 2nd Councillor I have explained the matter to the latter and he has agreed to the retention of para 3 of the draft with a slight modification

A fresh draft is now put up which it is hoped may meet

11/2/04

07089

15815/11204

all right

12.2.04



Edn No 811

1903-4

From H G Bhabha Esq Ma,
~~The~~ Inspector General of Education
in Mysore

To H V Nanjundayya Esq Ma, Bl
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore,
Genl & Rev Depts,
Bangalore

No 716
Dated 24

Received

No of Enclosures

Subject

Recommending a Grant in aid of Rs 20 a month for the English School at Konanur in the Arkalgud Taluk with effect from 1-7-1903

(2)

Ldn. 81]

No 716/24

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL OF EDUCATION IN MYSORE
Bangalore, 20th July 1903

From

H J BHABHA Esq.,
Inspector General of Education in Mysore,

To

H V Nanjundayya Esq M A, I C S
Secretary to the Government of His Exc the
General & Revenue Dept
Bangalore

SIR

I have the honour to inform you that the people of Konanur in Ar Kalgud Taluk have applied for a grant-in-aid of an English School which they have started in the Village and have paid into the Ar Kalgud Treasury a sum of Rs 160 which is two thirds of the annual cost of the School contributed by them. About 20 boys attend the School I request that Government may be pleased to sanction a monthly Grant of Rs 20 for the School with effect

(6)

effect from 1st July 1903. The Aided English School at Nasik in the Malwa Taluk which was receiving a monthly grant of Rs. 30 has ceased to exist. The grant now recommended may be sanctioned from the amount of Rs. 30 thus saved.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

H J Bhabha

Inspector General.

W

(4)

Mysore Govt Gen. & Rev. Secretariat — Office Draft.

General (Edn) Branch

Progs of the Govt of H H the Maharaja of Mysore

File No (if any) and its date—

Read Letter No 716/24 dated the 20th July 1903 from the DPI of Edn in Mysore, recommending that a grant of Rs 20 a month maybe sanctioned in aid of the English school at Konanur in the Arkalgud Taluk, the inhabitants of the place having contributed and paid into the Taluk Treasury Rs 160 being two thirds of the cost of the school for one year, and proposing that the charge may be met from the Savings Rs 30 the grant in aid sanctioned in favour of the English school at Nasik which has ceased to exist

with effect from the 1st July 03

4/8/03

Order thereon

No II G 674-8 Ed 31-7-03

A grant of Rs 20 a month is sanctioned for the school at

Kohamer for one year
from the 1st July 1903

2 The Dfl is requested
to submit to Govt the
the Comptr a fleabprovid
-priation statement as
required by the rules

DSL
ZQS
28 7 03 } Secy Order

For Secretary

7. The Dfl Q Ex will gran
Comptr Myore

S.1646

(6)

[Edu No 81]

SECTION Edn 1903-14

FILE No 3 III-103-43 Babha Esq Ina,

CRAFT No 3

The Inspector General of Education in Mysore

To H V Ranganadayya Esq Inspector,
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
General Rev Depttt,
Bangalore

No 4291-2
136

Dated 16th November 1903

Received

No of Enclosures

Subject

With reference to Govt Order No G 517-8 dated July 1903 sanctioning a grant of Rs 20 for the Private English School at Konanur in Arkalgud, I beg to state that the submission of a reappropriation Statement does not seem necessary under the rules.

G (L)
20 11 03

(7)

Edn 81 J

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL OF EDUCATION IN MYSORE
Bangalore 16th November 1903

No 4291—2
136

From

H J BHABHA Esq MA,
Inspector General of Education in Mysore

To

H V Nanjundayya Esq, MA, BL,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General & Revenue Departments,
Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to Government Order No Ly 517-8 Ed dated July 1903, I have the honour to inform you that a monthly grant of Rs 20 has been sanctioned for the Aided English School at Konanur in the Arkalgud Taluk with effect from 1st July last, out of Rs 30 saved by the Aided English School at Maste in the Malur Taluk being closed. This amount is included in the provision of Rs 91,194 entered under (8) Grants in aid 18 H Education in the Civil Budget Estimates. In the Order sanctioning the grant I am asked to submit through the Comptroller a reappropriation Statement to Government. The rules relating to reappropriation

(6)

and the printed form prescribed under them for proposing reappropriation seem to apply to a case in which savings under one head of the Budget are required for expenditure under another head I regret my inability therefore to submit in the present case a reappropriation statement to Government

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
H J Bhabha
Inspector General -

W

(9)

ITEM Edr 4

FILE NO 11783

SERIAL 4

The Comptr to be sent a Memo

No H 1797 & 3 Dated Dec 03

16/11/04

16

In forwarding herewith copies of the marginally noted correspondence, has the honour to enquire whether reappropriation statements are to be submitted to Govt, through the Comptr, by Heads of Depts and others when they propose to utilise savings in the Secl head for purposes for which no provision was made in the budget

Byrnes

Secretary 11/11/04

Comptr 24/12

Ref 23 12 05

1 Letter No 716/24 D the 20th July 1903 recommending a grant of Rs 20 a month in aid of the English school at Konanur

2 Govt order No 5178 Edr D 31-7-03 Sanctioning the above grant and calling for a reappropriation Statement

3 Letter No 4291-2/136 D the 16th Nov 1903 stating that no reappropriation Statement is necessary

Taking under consideration

Cash Book

111-73

55

(10)

DEPARTMENT

1904

From H Eagles Esquire
of THE COMPTROLLER, Mysore Govt

To H V Nanjundayya Esqre
THE SECY TO GOVT OF MYSORE,
Land Revenue Dept

Dated

Despatched 21 January

Received

No 396

No 119

SUBJECT MATTER

Stating that no re-appropriation will be necessary if the proposed charge is debitable to the same head

(Ill) 3461/119 BAR DEPARTMENT H-1189

OFFICE OF THE

COMPTROLLER, GOVT OF MYSORE.

BANGALORE, 21 January 1904

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE * GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

From Henry Eagle. Esqure

~~E. R. SUBRAYER, Esq~~,

Offg Comptroller Govt of Mysore,

To H V Nanjundayya Esquire M A M L

~~THE~~ SECRETARY TO THE GOVT OF MYSORE,

General & Revenue Depts

SIR,

With reference to your no H 1797 dated 16-1-04 forwarding copies of certain Correspondence and enquiring whether reappropriation Statements are to be submitted to Government through the Comptroller, by heads of Departments and others when they propose to utilize Savings under any head for purposes falling under the same head but, for which no provision is made in the Budget, I have the honor to State that no reappropriation Statements are necessary if the proposed new charge is debitable to the same

some detailed head and wherein the savings from the original grant are specifically pointed out

In the present instance the grant of Rs 20/ a month to the English School at Konanur by the abolition of the grant-in-aid of Rs 30/ a month to the English School at Nash, is only an alteration in the scale of grants-in aid as fixed by Government involving no additional charge and consequently no reappropriation statement is necessary

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant

Offg Comptroller

By

Memorandum (13)

~~Read again~~

~~Govt Order~~ No G 517-8/Ed dated ~~the~~ 31st July 1903, sanctioning a grant of Rs 20 a month in aid of the English school at Konanur in the Arkalgud Taluk, and requesting the D.Gl of Education to submit a re-appropriation Statement through the Comptroller for meeting the charge from the saving of Rs 30 a month available in the budget (being the grant sanctioned for the English school at Maste which has ceased to exist) as proposed by him

Read —

(1) Letter No 4291-2/136 dated the 16th Nov 1903 from the D Gl of Education in Mysore stating that by reappropriating Rs 20 out of the saving of Rs 30 pointed out, in favour of the school at Konanur, no transfer of funds from one Major Head to another will result, as the

the changes will be confined to one Major Head viz "~~Grants-in-aid, 8, 18, 4~~" (8) Grants-in-aid of the Civil Budget, and that a reappropriation Statement is not necessary, and accordingly regretting his inability to submit one as called for

(2) Letter No 3961/119 dated the 21st January 1904, from the comptroller to the Govt of Mysore, stating, in reply to an enquiry made, that no reappropriation Statements are necessary if the proposed new charge is debitable to the same detailed head and when the savings from the original grant are specifically pointed out, and that, accordingly, no re-appn Statement is necessary in the present case

D

Order thereon No Fl 2221-62
Dated 18th Feb 1904

Under the circumstances explained

(1)

explained above, a reappro-
Statement is not necessary, in
cases of the kind under
reference

2 The charge of Rs 20
sanctioned for the English
school at Honavar will
be met from the saving of
Rs 30 available in the budget,
the grant sanctioned for the
school at Masta not being
required

3 The DPI's attention
is drawn to his letter No $\frac{3468}{119}$
dated the 8th Oct 1901 in which
he stated that the school at
Masta would be closed on
1st January 1902 If it was
so closed, the grant sanctd
for that school was no
longer necessary and it ought
not to have been repeated
in the Budget Estimates
submitted by the DPI for the
years 1902-1903 and 1903-04

The

(14)

The preliminary estimates submitted by the Dpt every year are understood to provide for charges that are actually to be incurred and should not contain lapses on account of schools which ceased to exist If such provision is nevertheless reported in the budget, a note explaining why such provision is retained should invariably be furnished

H/L 15/2/24
offg Secretary

Dft
-19-
11/2/24

To The Dpt of Education in Mysore
" Comptr to the Govt of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore
" Deputy Commrs of Districts
" Other Heads of Depts

Print H/L
pc sent 19/2

Issue H/L
15/-

This letter will issue from the Fin & Branch
H/L
16/2/24

M.H.F.

compd

(12)

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Financial dated 18th February 1904

READ AGAIN —

Government Proceedings No G 3178—Fd dated the 31st July 1903 sanctioning a grant of Rs 20 a month in aid of the English School at Konanur in the Arkalgud Taluk and requesting the Inspector General of Education to submit a re appropriation statement through the Comptroller for meeting the charge from the saving of Rs 30 a month available in the budget (being the grant sanctioned for the English School at Masti which has ceased to exist) as proposed by him

READ—

(1) Letter No 4291 2—136 dated the 16th November 1903 from the Inspector General of Education in Mysore stating that by re appropriating Rs 20 out of the saving of Rs 30 pointed out in favour of the school at Konanur no transfer of funds from one Major Head to another will result as the changes will be confined to one Major Head *viz* 18 Education (8) Grants in aid of the Civil Budget and that a re appropriation statement is not necessary and accordingly regretting his inability to submit one as called for

(2) Letter No 3961—119 dated the 21st January 1904 from the Comptroller to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore stating in reply to an enquiry made that no re appropriation statements are necessary if the proposed new charge is debitable to the same detailed head and when the savings from the original grant are specifically pointed out and that accordingly no re appropriation statement is necessary in the present case

---

No Fl 2221 62 dated Bangalore 18th February 1904

ORDER THEREON —Under the circumstance explained above a re appropriation statement is not necessary in cases of the kind under reference

2 The charge of Rs 20 sanctioned for the English School at Konanur will be met from the saving of Rs 30 available in the budget a grant sanctioned for the school at Masti not being required

3 The Inspector General's attention is drawn to his letter No 3468—119 dated the 8th October 1901 in which he stated that the school at Masti would be closed on 1st January 1902 If it was so closed the grant sanctioned for that school was no longer necessary and it ought not to have been repeated in the Budget estimates submitted by the Inspector General for the years 1902 03 and 1903 04 The preliminary estimates submitted by the Inspector General every year are underfood to provide for charges that are actually to be incurred and should not contain lapses on account of schools which ceased to exist If such provision is nevertheless repeated in the Budget a note explaining why such provision is retained should invariably be furnished

Edn 105-01-1

L ANANTASAMI RAO
Offg Secy to Govt Gen & Rev Depts

To—The Inspector General of Education in Mysore
The Comptroller to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts
The other Heads of Departments

Exd — c v

1903 04

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHN
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

FINANCIAL

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 18th February 1904

No Fl 2221 62

Directing that the grant in aid of Rs 20 sanctioned for the English school at Konanur be met from the provision of Rs 30 a month in the budget for the school at Masti which has ceased to exist, no re-appropriation being necessary as both the new charge and the saving available are under the same detailed head of the budget, and observing that estimates submitted to Government are understood to provide only such charges as are actually to be incurred

SBP—10-3-04—350